
Loudoun County, Virginia



2018 Water Resources Monitoring Data Summary



Loudoun County, Virginia
Department of Building and Development
Natural Resources Division
Floodplain Management Team

September 2019

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

cfs: cubic feet per second

DEQ: Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

EPA: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

MCL: maximum contaminant level

mg/L: milligrams per Liter

NWS-COOP: National Weather Service Cooperative monitoring station

OWTS: On-site Wastewater Treatment System

TDS: Total Dissolved Solids

uS/cm: microSiemens per centimeter

USGS: U.S. Geological Survey

NWS: National Weather Service (Division of National Oceanographic and Atmospheric

Administration)

DATA LIMITATIONS

While efforts have been made to insure the accuracy of the data presented in this report, Loudoun County does not assume any liability arising from the use of these data. Reliance on these data is at the risk of the user. The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) and the National Climatic Data Center (who distribute National Weather Service data) have data quality assurance procedures in which data are considered "Provisional" until they are checked and corrected as needed. Data used in this report are all "Approved".

HYPERLINKS

The underlined text in this document indicates hyperlinks to additional data and online resources that may be accessed when this document is opened in a program designed to view portable document format (pdf) files. The report can be found at www.loudoun.gov/watermonitoring and follow the link to Data Analysis & Reporting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This document was prepared by County staff members David Ward of the Floodplain Management Team in the Natural Resources Division of the Department of Building and Development.

Loudoun County, VA 2018 Water Resources Monitoring Data Summary

Table of Contents

Introduction and Setting	1
General Characteristics of Loudoun County	
Physiography and Geology	
Watersheds	
Water Quantity	3
Precipitation	3
Snowfall	
Streamflow	
Groundwater Levels and Wells	
Water Quality	8
Surface Water Chemistry	
Surface Water Microbiology	
Benthic Macroinvertebrates	
Stream Health Trend	
Stream Impairments	11
Groundwater Quality	
Water Resources Highlight	15
Moment to Remember	16

INTRODUCTION AND SETTING

This document summarizes data collected during various water resources monitoring activities in and adjacent to Loudoun County, Virginia, by government, private and volunteer organizations during calendar year 2018. Specifically, data characterizing precipitation, streamflow, groundwater levels, and surface water and groundwater quality are presented. The Loudoun County Department of Building and Development collects these data or compiles them from other sources. Efforts originated with the Loudoun County Water Resources Monitoring Program in 2001 as originally funded through a grant from the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Although the grant ended in 2009 the County continues to collect and compile groundwater level and streamflow data. The data are presented and discussed in two sections: water quantity – measurements of precipitation, streamflows, and groundwater levels; and water quality – the chemical and biological characteristics of stream water and groundwater.

Loudoun County has been one of the fastest growing counties in the nation during the past decade. The estimated population of Loudoun County in 2018 is nearing 393,345 and is forecast to reach 493,603 by 2045; an increase of 25 percent.

General Characteristics of Loudoun County

Loudoun County is located in Northern Virginia approximately 30 miles west of Washington, D.C. The county covers an area of 521 square miles and is bordered on the north by the Potomac River and the west by the Blue Ridge Mountains (Figure 1).

Urban and suburban development is concentrated mostly in the eastern part of the county, generally from the Town of Leesburg to Washington Dulles International Airport and the border with Fairfax County. The

western portion of the county is more rural, with crop farms, pastures, vineyards, several small towns, and numerous large-lot residential subdivisions.

Loudoun Water, formerly known as the Loudoun County Sanitation Authority, owns and operates a centralized water and sewer system that serves the developed area of eastern Loudoun as shown in Figure 1. The Town of Leesburg provides treated Potomac River water to residents inside the Town limits, and to several residential areas adjacent to its eastern boundary. Outside of the Leesburg and Loudoun Water central service areas. residents obtain water primarily from wells. In the rural towns and several of the subdivisions, water may come from communal water systems and sewage is treated in small wastewater treatment plants. The remaining single-family homes and businesses have on-site individual wastewater treatment systems.




Figure 1. Major features of Loudoun County, VA.

Physiography and Geology

Loudoun County intersects two physiographic provinces which are separated by the Bull Run Fault (Figure 1). The fault separates the Culpeper Basin (a Triassic-age rift basin) of the Piedmont Province on the east from the Blue Ridge Province to the west. The Culpeper Basin is comprised of sedimentary rocks and sedimentary-derived metamorphic rocks, both of which may include intrusions of dense, igneous diabase rock. The north-eastern area of the county, generally from the Town of Leesburg northward, is underlain by limestone conglomerate rock (the Leesburg Member of the Balls Bluff Siltstone) and has the surface features and hydrogeologic characteristics of a karst environment. Western Loudoun is underlain by metamorphic rocks derived from both sedimentary and igneous parent material. Bedrock in the county is covered by regolith (unconsolidated sediments and soils) that is commonly between 20 and 50 feet thick, but ranges from 0 to more than 90 feet thick. Soils are generally less permeable in eastern Loudoun compared to western Loudoun.

Watersheds

Watersheds are defined by topography and drain all of the surface water in an area to a single location such as a stream or lake. They are often used to delineate areas for monitoring, analyzing, and managing water resources. Watersheds can be defined at many different scales but the watershed scale that is most convenient for county-wide investigations in Loudoun is based on the 17 watershed areas shown in Figure 2. The majority of the county is covered by three major drainage areas that empty into the Potomac River by way of

the following stream systems: Goose Creek, Catoctin Creek, and Broad Run.

The eastern and southern borders of the county share watersheds with the neighboring Virginia counties of Fairfax, Prince William, and Fauquier. The upper reaches of Broad Run and Sugarland Run watersheds lie to the east in Fairfax County and Goose Creek originates to the southwest in Fauquier County, but all three streams/watersheds drain into Loudoun County and ultimately the Potomac River.

The southeastern region of Loudoun includes the headwaters of Bull Run and Cub Run. These streams drain out of Loudoun County to the south and are tributaries to the Occoquan River which eventually discharges into the Potomac River.




Figure 2. Watersheds and streams in and adjacent to Loudoun County, VA.

WATER QUANTITY

This section presents information on the quantity of water resources with data on precipitation, streamflows, and groundwater levels in Loudoun County during calendar year 2018.

Precipitation

Total annual precipitation in 2018, was 66.7 inches, which is 27.3 inches (69%) greater the normal. The (median) annual precipitation for the full period of record between 1964 and 2018 at the Dulles Airport monitoring station was 39.4 inches. Precipitation data are obtained from seven monitoring sites in the county (Figure 3). Four precipitation stations are part of the National Weather Service's (NWS) cooperative monitoring network and two rain gages are operated by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). The NWS sites have relatively long periods of record with one having nearly continuous data since 1930 (Table 1). The two USGS rain gages have mostly continuous data records beginning in 2004 and 2005 and provide data at 5-minute intervals.

Data from the long-term records indicate that annual precipitation has ranged from a minimum of 20.4 inches (at the Lincoln station in 1930) to maximum of 76.1 inches (at the Limestone Branch station in 2003). For purposes of identifying "normal" (mean) conditions and




Figure 3. Precipitation monitoring sites.

for comparison to current conditions, the standard practice is to group climatic data into periods of 30 consecutive years with the most recent year of the group ending in "0". For the 30-year period of 1981 through 2010, the annual median precipitation at the Dulles Airport was 40.5 inches and for the period of record 39.4 inches.

Table 1. Precipitation monitoring stations and data.

Precipitation Monitoring	Start of Station Description			tatistics (In iod of Reco	2018 Total	Days missing	
Station Name	Record ¹	by ^{2, 3}	Minimum	Median	Maximum	(Inches) ³	in 2018
Dulles Airport	1963	NWS-COOP	27.0	39.4	66.7	66.7	0
Limestone Branch	2004	USGS	28.0	38.6	76.1	52.3	17
Round Hill	2018	NWS-COOP	65.6	65.6	65.6	65.6	2
Lovettsville	2005	USGS	27.6	36.8	61.3	56.6	0
Mt. Weather	1949	NWS-COOP	24.8	40.9	71.1	71.1	2
Sterling RCS	1978	NWS-COOP	30.3	43.1	64.7	64.7	1

¹ First full year that generally continuous data collection began.

² National Weather Service Cooperative weather station; U.S. Geological Survey; Loudoun County Government

³ NWS-COOP stations record liquid & frozen precipitation; USGS & Loudoun stations record rainfall only.

⁴ Annual precipitation statistics based on site's period of available record through 2018 (see footnote 1).

Figure 4 presents annual precipitation data from the Dulles Airport from 1981 through 2018. Annual precipitation has alternated above and below the 30-year median for the last several years so that there has not been a prolonged, multiyear deficit or surplus of precipitation.

In 2018 there were over 700,000 precipitation records collected at the seven stations.

Figure 5 shows 2018 monthly precipitation at the Dulles Airport in relation to monthly data for the 30-year period from 1981 through 2010. The data indicate that precipitation during May, June and November 2018 were above normal, whereas January and March were below normal.

During 2018 the maximum number of consecutive days without precipitation as measured by UISGS in Leesburg was 16 days. The maximum in 2013 was 53 days.

A graph of daily precipitation at the Dulles station is shown in Figure 6. There were seven days when the precipitation exceeded 1.5 inches. Over the entire year, there were 223 days with no recorded precipitation at the Dulles station.




Figure 4. Annual precipitation at Dulles Airport from 1981 through 2018.




Figure 5. Monthly precipitation at Dulles Airport.




Figure 6. Daily precipitation at Dulles Airport in 2018.

Snowfall

Of the total precipitation at the Sterling station during 2018-2019 season, frozen precipitation totaled 26.6 inches, which was below the normal annual total of 19.8 inches of frozen precipitation and well below the 75.1 inches in winter season 2009-2010. The total snow depths are aggregated from daily values recorded at five weather stations operated by the National Weather Services as shown in Figure 7. In early years data were available from Mt. Weather, Dulles and Sterling. In recent years data are available for Sterling, Purcellville and Round Hill. The average total of the stations for each season is also shown.




Figure 7. Seasonal snowfall totals.

Streamflow

Perennial streams flow all or most of the year. In the past, the USGS has estimated that Loudoun County has approximately 507 miles of perennial streams while more recent investigations using additional data and standardized methodologies have indicated that the county may have over 1,500 miles of perennial streams. Knowing how much water flows in the larger perennial streams and how it varies over both short and long time periods is useful in the assessment of floodplains, flood control, stormwater structures, and environmental conditions. There are 10 stream gages that measure and record water stage (level) in Loudoun County streams (Figure 8). Measured water levels at each gaging station are reported via telemetry to the USGS, correlated to historical sitespecific stream discharges (flows), and the data posted in near real-time with updates normally every 15 minutes. The data are available at the USGS web site for Loudoun County. Three additional stream gages are located along the county's perimeter: at Harpers Ferry and Point of Rocks on the Potomac River (both with real-time data on an internet web page) and on Bull Run near Route 705.

The USGS regularly inspects the gaging stations to




Figure 8. Locations of stream gaging stations.

check the monitoring equipment and measure stream channel cross sections, water levels, and streamflow velocities in order to maintain calibration and data accuracy. However, data are considered provisional until passing the USGS's full quality control process.




Figure 9. Stream discharge hydrograph for Goose Creek during 2018 compared to data from 1981-2010.

Figure 9 illustrates mean daily flow rates in Goose Creek near Leesburg during 2018 and compares it to monthly flow statistics at the same site for the period 1981 through 2010. These data indicate that streamflows were above normal for most of Spikes in streamflow are generally the vear. correlated with rainfall at Dulles Airport (Figure 6). These two monitoring sites are approximately 5 miles apart, but the upper reaches of the watershed are over 30 miles from the Dulles precipitation station. If a storm event is localized in the upper area of the watershed, it can result in a relatively high stream discharge even though reported precipitation at the Dulles site is relatively low. The highest peak stream discharge recorded in 2018 at this station occurred on December 16. Goose Creek is the County's largest stream, with its headwaters in Fauguier County, flowing across Loudoun County, and discharging to the Potomac River.

Table 2 lists the 10 gaging stations in the county along with selected data statistics. The peak flow

rates for 2018 occurred In 2018 peak flows occurred on September 28 at four gages, on May 17 at North Fork Catoctin and Piney Run, on December 16 at Beaverdam Run and Goose Creek Leesburg, on June 3 at Goose Creek Middleburg and on July 22 at Broad Run.

Table 2. Stream gaging stations and basic statistics.

Stream Gage Site Name	Start of Record	Drainage Area ¹ (sq. miles)	2018 Avg ² (cfs)	Previous Historic Avg ³ (cfs)	2018 Min ⁴ (cfs)	Previous Historic Min ⁵ (cfs)	2018 Peak ⁶ (cfs)	Previous Historic Peak ⁷ (cfs)	2018 Non- flowing ⁸ (days)	Average Annual Historic Non-flowing ⁹ (days)
Beaverdam Creek	Jul 2001	47.2	108.0	50.4	5.4	0.0	1,310	5,000	0	19
Broad Run	Oct 2001	76.1	211.0	126.3	15.0	1.3	3,440	10,300	0	0
Catoctin Creek - Taylorstown	Oct 1970	89.5	237.9	102.9	13.5	0.1	3,630	6,770	0	1.4
Goose Creek - Leesburg	Jul 1909	332.0	750.1	349.6	27.8	1.1	8,460	20,800	0	0
Goose Creek - Middleburg	Oct 1965	122.0	310.1	134.7	12.9	0.0	2,990	14,000	0	4.1
Limestone Branch	Aug 2001	7.9	21.7	8.8	0.9	0.4	427	976	0	0
North Fork Catoctin Creek	Jul 2001	23.1	57.3	24.3	3.2	0.0	881	1,190	0	7.4
North Fork Goose Creek	Jul 2001	38.1	93.3	46.0	5.2	0.2	982	3,040	0	0
Piney Run	Oct 2001	13.5	30.6	14.2	3.4	0.0	445	488	0	1.9
South Fork Catoctin Creek	Jul 2001	31.6	84.1	36.1	3.7	0.0	1,080	1,920	0	3.0

¹ Drainage area above the stream gage (square miles)

² Average daily flow rate during 2018

³ Average daily flow rate for the period 2002–2017

⁴ Lowest 7-day average flow rate during 2018. Note: Broad Run flow augmented by wastewater discharge up to 11 MGD starting in 2008.

⁵ The lowest 7-day average flow rate for the period 2002–2017

⁶ Peak daily flow rate during 2018

⁷Peak daily flow rate for the period 2002–2017

⁸ Maximum number of consecutive days with very low flow (below 0.2 cfs) during 2018

⁹ Maximum number of consecutive days per year with less than 0.2 cfs flow during the period 2002–2017

Groundwater Levels and Wells

There are more than 19,000 active individual water supply wells throughout Loudoun County. Groundwater is the primary source of drinking water for the majority of residents in western Loudoun. Groundwater levels during 2018 were recorded at 13 of 16 dedicated monitoring wells at the sites shown in Figure 10. Sixteen of these wells are operated by staff from the Department of Building and Development and three are operated by the USGS. Groundwater level data have been collected from the three USGS wells since the late 1960s or early 1970s. Most of the Countymonitored wells were established as monitoring sites within the past decade, with one well dating back to 2002. Table 3 lists the monitoring wells, basic information about each well, groundwater level data for both 2018 and the well's historic record.




Figure 10. Locations of groundwater monitoring wells.

Table 3. Monitoring wells and groundwater level data for 2018.

Well Site ID		Well		Groundwater Level (1		evel (feet)	reet) ^{1, 2}	
(see map for location)	Monitoring Organization	Depth (feet)	Rock Type	Period of Record	Historic High	2018 High	Historic Low	2018 Low
USGS-01	USGS	516	Meta-conglomerate/ metasiltstone	8/1969 - Present	1000.3	1001.7	1014.0	1013.6
USGS-02	USGS	535	Fluvial, deltaic sandstone	10/1977 - Present	342.0	345.8	364.4	383.5
USGS-03	USGS	165	Siltstone/sandstone	11/1968 - Present	407.3	410.8	416.3	414.9
BOLN-12	Loudoun	515	Fluvial, deltaic sandstone	12/2006 - 12/30/2016	340.3	NA	333.2	NA
BRCS-01	Loudoun	320	Igneous intrusive	12/2007 - Present	548.6	547.1	537.5	539.3
HARM-01	Loudoun	945	Plutonic igneous intrusive	2/2005 - 4/12/2017	501.1	NA	463.6	NA
MGRD-01	Loudoun	400	Plutonic igneous intrusive	12/2007 - Present	483.1	477.9	470.6	477.2
RGER-01	Loudoun	700	Igneous intrusive	2/2005 - Present	646.9	642.6	620.2	639.1
TSPG-01	Loudoun	360	Plutonic igneous intrusive	2/2005 - Present	434.7	431.0	46.6	428.3
WDGR-01	Loudoun	940	Mafic igneous intrusive	3/2005 - Present	618.4	608.6	602.2	608.6
WFRD-01	Loudoun	400	Plutonic igneous intrusive	11/2002 - 9/27/2016	421.9	NA	400.1	NA
BRPK-01	Loudoun	680	Igneous intrusive	7/2009 - Present	1668.5	1668.5	1649.3	1655.9
THPK-01	Loudoun	360	Limestone conglomerate	7/2009 - Present	233.7	215.7	188.3	190.0
ALPK-01	Loudoun	240	Alluvium/metasiltstone	7/2009 - Present	191.9	191.9	152.3	174.2
HRKN-01	Loudoun	600	Plutonic igneous intrusive	3/2009 - Present	645.4	644.5	632.0	634.2
VRGO-01	Loudoun	300	Igneous intrusive	3/2009 - Present	529.9	529.9	500.6	506.3
EVGN-01	Loudoun	320	Diabase	3/2009 - Present	321.8	321.8	312.9	316.8
LNSF-01	Loudoun	322	Hornfels	8/2013 - Present	287.2	287.0	269.0	271.8
LWTP-01	Loudoun	250	Metasiltstone	3/2009 - Present	246.2	246.1	203.0	239.2

¹ Elevation above mean sea level. ² Historic data highs and lows are during the period of record through 2016.

In 2016, ground surface elevation were revised to 1-meter DEM derived from 2012 LiDAR.

Figure 11 shows hydrographs for selected monitoring wells that are representative of groundwater levels in the county for calendar year 2018. Shortterm natural increases in groundwater levels occur because of recharge from precipitation. In the absence of additional recharge from precipitation and outside influences such as nearby pumping, groundwater levels normally exhibit a steady, slow decline over time after rain events. Groundwater levels are typically highest in late spring/early summer and lowest in late fall/early winter. Assuming normal precipitation patterns, this is due to greater recharge to the groundwater system during cooler winter/spring weather when evapotranspiration is low, thereby allowing more water to infiltrate downward to the water table.




Figure 12. Groundwater hydrograph and daily rainfall in 2018.




Figure 13. Number of wells constructed in Loudoun County between 1980 and 2018.




Figure 11. Groundwater levels from selected County monitoring wells.

Figure 12 shows a hydrograph from the monitoring well at Blue Ridge Park and daily rainfall from the Dulles rain gage. Both data sets are during 2018 and show the response of groundwater levels to precipitation events. Note that during late summer, when conditions are generally dryer and evapotranspiration is highest, response to even significant rain events will produce only subtle increases in groundwater level.

During 2018, 176 new water supply wells were constructed. Figure 13 presents the number of wells drilled each year since 1980. The installation of new wells is primarily driven by the pace of residential construction and, occasionally, zoning changes affecting residential development potential. As occurred during the previous ten years, the number of new wells drilled in 2018 remained relatively low. The median total depth of wells installed in 2018 was 419 feet with the depths ranging from 22 to 1,000 feet. The median estimated yield (based on air-lift pumping) was 24.5 gallons per minute with yields ranging from 0.5 to 300 gallons per minute.

The median depth of wells drilled in Loudoun County has increased from 200 feet during 1970s to over 400 feet since 2000. The increase has been possible because of advances in drilling technology, allowing wells to be drilled less expensively, quicker and deeper to provide increased water storage.

WATER QUALITY

The quality of surface water in Loudoun County was quantified in 2018 using several metrics including chemical, microbiological, and benthic macroinvertebrates. Groundwater quality was assessed through chemical and bacteria analyses conducted on well water samples. Monitoring results from each of these data types are discussed below.

Surface Water Chemistry

Chemical sampling and analysis of surface water in 2018 was primarily conducted by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) as part of their state-wide <u>surface water quality sampling program</u>.

In 2018, DEQ collected samples from 14 sites and conducted 106 analyses of nitrogen and phosphorous each from the watersheds of Loudoun County (some watershed boundaries extend beyond the County's boundaries). Nutrient enrichment has been identified as a major cause of the reported stream impairments nationwide and can lead to low dissolved oxygen, fish kills, shifts in flora and fauna and blooms of nuisance algae. Figure 14 illustrates the results of sampling by DEQ for nitrogen and phosphorus in the surface waters from the watersheds of Loudoun County during 2018. Note that in 2018 there was a spike on May 22 on Sand Branch just south of Dulles Airport (1ASAN000.34) of 17.9 mg/l nitrogen which was typically close to 2 mg/l. This is more than 25 time the criteria value. This may be related to the one measurement for 2018 on 9/6/2018 at the




Figure 14. Nutrient concentrations as percent of samples exceeding EPA guidance thresholds from 2000 to 2018.

discharge from Superior Concrete - Dulles Outfall 001 (VAG110094-001) where the nitrogen level was 7.5 mg/l about 1,800 feet upstream of DEQ Station 1ASAN000.34.

In 2000, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) developed ambient water quality criteria recommendations and information for 14 nutrient eco-regions in the continental United States. Individual states could adopt the criteria developed by EPA or elect to develop their own criteria and methodologies. Virginia has been working on a methodology to evaluate nutrient stress in wadeable streams since that time. As shown in Figure 14, the percent of stream samples which exceed the threshold levels for nitrogen and phosphorous between 2000 and 2018 are shown. In 2018, approximately 95 percent and 59 percent of the samples collected by DEQ contained nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations above the 0.69 mg/L and 0.037 mg/L EPA guidance criteria, respectively.

Surface Water Microbiology

The primary microbiological area of concern for surface water relates to pathogens that may adversely affect human health. An accepted practice to test for pathogens from human and warm-blooded animal waste is to test water for *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) bacteria as an indicator of waste contamination. EPA uses *E. coli* concentrations as an indicator of whether the water is considered safe for humans after casual contact. This criterion is identified by EPA as "recreational use" and includes activities such as swimming, fishing and boating.

In 2018, DEQ collected and analyzed 107 samples from the watersheds of Loudoun County and found that approximately 93 percent were above the recreational limit of 235 *E. coli* colonies per 100 milliliters. Stream segments that are tested and exceed the recreational use criteria more than 10.5 percent of the time may be

identified as "impaired" by DEQ. Using a similar approach, Table 4 summarizes the number of sites in which more than 10.5 percent of the samples exceeded the recreational limit over the last ten years. Several programs are in place to reduce bacterial contamination in the impaired surface waters of Loudoun County including initiatives to repair or upgrade on-site wastewater treatment systems (e.g., septic systems and drain fields), reduce pet waste, and fence livestock out of streams.

Table 4. Summary of surface water microbiological testing by DEQ.

Year	Number of Samples	Number of Monitoring Sites ¹	Number of Sites Exceeding ²	Percent Sites Exceeding ³
2009	101	16	13	81%
2010	122	20	8	90%
2011	124	24	19	85%
2012	117	24	19	79%
2013	136	20	19	95%
2014	62	10	8	80%
2015	118	16	15	94%
2016	123	14	12	86%
2017	72	13	6	46%
2018	107	14	13	93%

¹ Limited to stations with 6 or more samples annually.

Benthic Macroinvertebrates

Benthic macroinvertebrates are stream bottom-dwelling invertebrate organisms (mostly insect larvae) that can be seen without magnification. Their tolerance of poor water quality varies depending on the species and, as a result, these organisms are used as indicators of water quality.

Sampling a stream for benthic macro-invertebrates usually involves collecting all the organisms within a small area of the stream bottom, identifying the types of organisms collected to the order, family or genus taxa level, and counting the number of each type. These results are then converted to a "macroinvertebrate score" which is used to qualitatively grade the water quality. In 2018, two techniques were used to evaluate the benthic macro-invertebrate populations: the Virginia Stream Condition Index (VA SCI) at both the family and genus level used by DEQ and the Virginia Save Our Streams (VA SOS) index used by several citizen volunteer organizations in and adjacent to the county.

² Exceeds recreational use criteria for *Escherichia coli* in more than 10.5% of the samples .

³ Percent of the sites when more than 10.5% of samples exceeded recreational use criteria.




Figure 15. Summary of DEQ benthic monitoring results.

Several volunteer organizations in <u>Loudoun Watershed Watch</u> collect benthic macroinvertebrate data. From 2012 through 2018, Loudoun Wildlife Conservancy, Goose Creek Association, and other volunteer groups collected 130 samples from approximately 45 locations using the VA SOS methodology. Results ranged from Acceptable to Unacceptable as shown in Figure 16.

Benthic macroinvertebrate species vary in their tolerance of poor water quality. Monitoring benthic populations is an efficient way for professionals and volunteers to assess one aspect of stream water quality.

During the period 2012 through 2018, DEQ sampled a total of 175 times at 80 locations in Loudoun and calculated VA SCI scores which ranged from Severe Stress to Excellent. Figure 15 illustrates the average stream conditions from benthic samples collected by DEQ between 2012 and 2018 where the annual average number of stations was less than 25.




Figure 16. Summary of volunteer benthic monitoring results.

Stream Impairments

Each year, DEQ tests a statistically significant fraction of Virginia's streams, rivers, lakes, and tidal waters as part of their water quality assessment program. Over 130 different pollutants are monitored to determine whether the waters can be safely used for swimming, fishing and drinking. Waters that do not meet the adopted standards are reported to EPA in the Clean Water Act 303(d) Impaired Waters Report. DEQ has

developed lists of impaired waters every even calendar year since 1992. In Loudoun County, DEQ water quality impairments have included:

- aquatic life (benthic macroinvertebrates)
- recreational/swimming (bacteria)
- fishing/consumption (tissue analysis)

In the latest report, there were over 200 stream miles in Loudoun County identified as impaired for one or more criteria. Listing a stream as "impaired" begins a multi-year process of identifying pollution sources, determining appropriate pollution loadings, and designing and implementing corrective measures. Figure 17 through Figure 20 illustrate the impairments for aquatic life use, recreational/ swimming use, fish consumption and public water supply, respectively. The most recent EPA 305(b)/303(d) Water Quality Assessment Integrated Report is for Draft 2018 which was released January 22, 2019.

Surface water quality impairments are reported to the Environmental Protection Agency every two years by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). The DRAFT 2018 305(b)/303(d) Water Quality Assessment Integrated Report (Integrated Report) summarizes the water quality conditions from Jan. 1, 2011, to Dec. 31, 2016.




Figure 17. Aquatic life use (benthic macroinvertebrates) impaired stream segments.




Figure 18. Recreational/swimming use (bacteria) impaired stream segments.




Figure 19. Fish consumption use (PCB and mercury in fish tissue) impaired stream segments.




Figure 20. Public water supply use (chemicals) impaired stream segments.

Groundwater Quality

Groundwater is the source of drinking water for most of Loudoun County outside of Loudoun Water's central service area (see Figure 1) and the Town of Leesburg. Information on groundwater quality is obtained from several sources. Before new potable water wells can be used, they must be tested and pass drinking water quality standards for a wide range of chemical parameters listed by the County Health Department. In 2018, groundwater samples collected and analyzed from new wells were generally consistent with historical data (Table 5). There are large areas of the county that have elevated levels of iron and manganese; aesthetic contaminants that do not adversely affect human health at the concentrations found in the county. In general, groundwater quality in the county is good.

Table 5. Statistics for selected groundwater chemistry parameters.

Table 5. Results of Water Quality Analyses. All records vs. 2018.

Analyte	MCL(mg/L)	Samples		# above MCL	% above MCL
Nitrate	10	All 2018	4637 76	15 0	0.3% 0.0%
Sulfate	250	All 2018	4697 76	16 0	0.0% 0.0%
Lead	0.015	All 2018	4601 76	34 0	0.7% 0.0%
Fluoride	4	All 2018	4611 76	6 0	0.1% 0.0%
Arsenic	0.01	All 2018	4596 76	13 0	0.0% 0.0%
Manganese	0.05*	All 2018	4692 76	2168 64	46.2% 84.2%
Iron	0.3*	All 2018	4703 76	2281 61	48.5% 80.3%
TDS	500*	All 2018	4180 76	26 1	0.0% 1.3%

^{*} Secondary MCL for taste, color, and odor.

The most prevalent sources of potential groundwater pollution are the on-site wastewater treatment systems (OWTS) serving homes and small businesses in the rural areas of the county. There are approximately 17,377 active OWTSs in the county and during 2018, 170 new OWTSs were installed of which 60 were alternative. An OWTS that is properly installed and serviced should not pose a threat to groundwater quality. However, improper OWTS installation or maintenance can cause wastewater to be untreated or undertreated and lead to groundwater or surface water contamination. Because OWTSs are typically used in areas with private water wells, it is important to properly maintain the OWTS and regularly have the well water sampled and tested to assure that it is safe to drink. The Loudoun County Department of Environmental Health can provide information on maintenance and testing of private water wells and OWTSs (703-777-0234 and www.loudoun.gov/onsite).

There are a few isolated locations in the County where significant groundwater contamination known to exist. The most notable location is the Hidden Lane Landfill in northeast Loudoun, which was placed on the EPA's National Priorities List (Superfund). The EPA has developed fact sheets to update citizens on clean-up investigation activities at the site. The latest Hidden Lane fact sheet and more information can be found by visiting the EPA web site.

Of the 76 groundwater samples reported in 2018, no samples individual analyte concentrations higher than the EPA Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL). Only secondary MCL for manganese, iron and total dissolved solids were exceeded. Groundwater quality in Loudoun is generally good, but these data show why private drinking water well owners should have their well water tested regularly to assure that the water is safe to consume.

WATER RESOURCES 2018 HIGHLIGHT:

WESTERN HILLS WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Western Hills Watershed in western Loudoun County, VA encompasses the towns of Purcellville, Round Hill and Hamilton. The 70 square mile area is transitioning from a rural to mixed resident. Incorporating portions of Goose Creek and Catoctin Creek watersheds, this watershed extends from the Appalachian Trail eastward towards Leesburg. To support land use management decisions, a watershed plan was prepared levering existing desktop or mapping analysis with a focused field investigation of the streams and neighborhoods. Additionally, groundwater resources were assessed for overall water balance and additional groundwater samples were collected to help ensure a clean water supply. The Western Hills Plan is based on previously established strategy and a countywide comprehensive watershed management plan. The plan follows the first pilot watershed plan prepared for Upper Broad Run Watershed.

- Community involvement (public meeting and partnership workgroups)
- Understand the Watershed (Desktop assessment through GIS map analysis)
- Field Investigations
 - Neighborhood Assessments (15 areas)
 - Hotspot (Potential water pollution source) Investigations (11 facilities)
 - Urban Reforestation Site Assessments (11 public and private land areas)
 - Stormwater Retrofit Reconnaissance Investigations (18 facilities)
 - o Stream Corridor Surveys (10 miles of stream walks)
 - o Groundwater water quality sampling at 20 residential wells
- Calculation of pollutant reductions using computer modeling
- Propose strategies for water quality improvements projects (stormwater and stream restoration)









Watershed restoration strategies are provided for all subwatersheds. Recommendations include: tree planting, sustainable landscaping, stormwater retrofits, new stormwater control measures, and stream restoration potentially resulting in annual reduction of 700 tons of sediment and nutrients when implemented.

This overall project scope and funding follows recommends from the Water Resources Technical Advisory Committee. The Watershed Management Plan represents the collaborative efforts of County staff (desktop assessment) and a Consultant (field investigation and pollutant analysis). More information may be found at https://www.loudoun.gov/westernhills

A watershed plan is a strategy and a work plan for achieving water resource goals that provides assessment and management information for a geographically defined watershed.

2018 - Moment to Remember

Loudoun Water celebrates 10th anniversary of Broad Run Water Reclamation Facility

Photo courtesy of Loudoun Water Comprehensive Financial Report 2018.

